Column Weight Two and Three LDPC Codes with High Rates and Large Girths

arXiv:1403.6090v1 [cs.IT] 24 Mar 2014

***Abstract*— In this paper, the concept of the *broken diagonal pair* in the chess-like square board is used to define some well-structured block designs whose incidence matrices can be considered as the parity-check matrices of some high rate cycle codes with girth 12. Interestingly, the constructed regular cycle codes with row-weights t, $3 \leq t \leq 20$, $t \neq 7, 15, 16$, have the best lengths among the known regular girth-12 cycle codes. In addition, the proposed cycle codes can be easily extended to some high rate column weight-3 LDPC codes with girth 6. Simulation results show that the constructed column weight 3 QC LDPC codes remarkably outperform QC LDPC codes based on Steiner triple systems and integer lattices.

Keywords: LDPC Code, Tannar Graph, Girth.

I. Introduction

Low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes [1] are the most promising class of linear codes due to their ease of implementation and excellent performance over noisy channels when decoded with message-passing algorithms [3]. Based on methods of construction, LDPC codes can be divided into two categories: random codes [2] and structured codes [10]-[14]. Although randomly constructed LDPC codes of large length give excellent bit-error rate (BER) performance [2], the memory required to specify the nonzero elements of such a random matrix can be a major challenge for hardware implementation. Structured LDPC codes can lead to much simpler implementations, particularly for encoding.

To each parity-check matrix H of an LDPC code, the Tanner graph $\mathrm{TG}(H)$ [4] is assigned and the girth of the code, denoted by $g(H)$, is defined as the length of the shortest cycle in $\mathrm{TG}(H)$. Cycles, especially short cycles, in $\mathrm{TG}(H)$ degrade the performance of LDPC decoders, because they affect the independence of the extrinsic information exchanged in the iterative decoding [2]. Accordingly, the design of LDPC codes with large girth is of great interest.

Cycle codes are a class of LDPC codes with parity-check matrices having fixed column weight-2, have shown potential in some applications such as partial response channels [9]. Also, it has been shown [5] that designing cycle codes with large girth [6], [15], especially in the non-binary setting [7], [8], is highly beneficial for the error-floor performance.

Constructing cycle codes with large girth has been investigated by several authors. In [17], cage graphs were used to construct cycle codes over a wide range of girths and rates. However, the problem of constructing cage graphs is very challenging and there is no deterministic approach to constructing arbitrary cages. In addition, in [16] the authors used singer perfect difference sets to constructed some non-binary cycle codes with girth 12 and regularity $t = q + 1$, q prime power, which achieved the Gallager bound. In [5], the authors constructed a particular class of cycle codes with girth $8e$, $e \geq 2$, and rate $1/e$. Subsequently, in [13], some girth-8 cycle codes were constructed whose parity-check matrices used as the mother matrices of some quasi cyclic (QC) cycle codes with girth 24.

In this paper, some girth-12 cycle codes are presented such that the constructed regular cycle codes with row-weights t, $3 \leq t \leq 20$, $t \neq 7, 15, 16$, have the best known lengths among known regular girth-12 cycle codes [17]. Specially, for $t = q + 1$, q prime power, the Gallager bound has been achieved for the minimum lengths of the constructed codes. Our construction cause to obtain the memory efficiency in storing the parity-check matrices of the constructed codes in the decoder. In addition, the parity-check matrices of the proposed girth-12 cycle codes can be extended to some parity-check matrices of column weight three corresponding to some high rate column weight three LDPC codes with girth 6. Simulation results show that the constructed column-weight three QC LDPC codes remarkably outperforms integer lattice and STS based LDPC codes over the additive white Gaussian noise channel.

II. Preliminaries and Constructions

Let $V = \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_b\}$ be a collection containing subsets $B_i \subseteq V$, $1 \leq i \leq b$. The *incidence matrix* of $\mathcal{B}$ is an $m \times b$ binary matrix $H = (h_{ij})_{0 \leq i < m, 1 \leq j \leq b}$, in which $h_{ij} = 1$ iff $i \in B_j$.

For a given integer m, let $\mathcal{L}_m$ denote the $m \times m$ square board whose columns (resp. rows) are indexed by $0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ from left to right (resp. up to down) starting from the most upper-left corner. So, by the square (i, j), $0 \leq i, j \leq m-1$, we mean the square with row and column indices i and j, respectively. The *main diagonal* of $\mathcal{L}_m$ is defined as the $\{(i, i), 0 \leq i \leq m-1\}$. By a *coloring* of $\mathcal{L}_m$, we mean a white-black coloring of the squares so that the main diagonal squares are white and the black squares are symmetric with respect to the main diagonal of $\mathcal{L}_m$, i.e. if the square (i, j) is black, then the square (j, i) is also black. For example, a random coloring of $\mathcal{L}_{10}$ is given in Figure 1, part (a). Since in an arbitrary coloring of $\mathcal{L}_m$, black and white squares are symmetric, thus we use $\{i, j\}$ to denote the squares (i, j) or (j, i). For an arbitrary coloring of $\mathcal{L}_m$ with black squares $B_k = \{i_k, j_k\}$, $1 \leq k \leq b$, let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_b\}$ and $H = (h_{p,q})$ be the $m \times b$ incidence matrix of $\mathcal{B}$.

Clearly H can be considered as the parity-check matrix of a cycle code with design rate $R = 1 - m/b$ and block length b. For example, the incidence matrix H corresponding to the random coloring of $\mathcal{L}_{10}$, shown in part (a) of Figure 1, is as follows, which can be considered as the parity-check matrix of a cycle code with girth 12 and design rate 0.25.

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 1&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0&1&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&1 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&1 \\ 0&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$



Fig. 1. A random coloring of $\mathcal{L}_{10}$, lines $L_{13}(5)$ and $L_{14}(5)$ in $\mathcal{L}_{14}$, $\mathbf{L}_{14}(1,5,13)$ and its Tannar graph, resp. from left to right.

$g(H)$ is fully dependant on the coloring of $\mathcal{L}_m$. Although increasing the number of black squares in $\mathcal{L}_m$ increases the rate, in most cases this increment is accompanied by a reduction of the girth. Therefore for a fixed g, the existence of a high rate cycle code with girth g will be guaranteed by an appropriate coloring of $\mathcal{L}_m$. For example, an appropriate coloring of $\mathcal{L}_m$ has been presented in [13] to construct some high rate girth-8 cycle codes with minimum lengths. In the sequel, some appropriate colorings of $\mathcal{L}_m$ are presented to construct some high rate cycle codes with girth 12.

We begin with some notations and definitions. The *pandiagonals* of $\mathcal{L}_m$ are those diagonal segments that are parallel to the main diagonal. Two pandiagonals that together contain m squares are called a *broken diagonal pair*. Now, let $m \geq 14$ and p be an odd positive integer less than m. For even m, we use $L_m(p)$ to denote the broken diagonal pair in $\mathcal{L}_m$ containing alternative white and black squares starting from $(0,p)$ such that the square $(0,p)$ is black. For odd m, $L_m(p)$ is obtained from $L_{m+1}(p)$ by removing the last row and column of $\mathcal{L}_{m+1}$. It can be easily seen that, for even m, $L_m(p)$ contains black squares $\{(2j, p+2j \pmod m) : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2}\}$ and for odd m, $L_m(p) = L_{m+1}(p) \setminus \{(m-p, m)\}$. For example, $L_{14}(5) = \{(0,5),(2,7),(4,9),(6,11),(8,13),(10,2),(12,4)\}$ and $L_{13}(5) = L_{14}(5) \setminus \{(8,13)\}$, which are shown in Figure 1 part (b). Also we denote by $\mathbf{L}_m(p)$ the set $\{\{i,j\} : (i,j) \in L_m(p)\}$.

For positive integers m and t, $t < m$, we define a (m,t)*−vector* $\mathbf{v}$, as a length-t vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t)$ such that $v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_t$ are positive odd integers less than m. For a given $(m,t)-$vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t)$, let $\mathbf{L}_m(\mathbf{v}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \mathbf{L}_m(v_i)$. It is noticed that the oddness of v_i's, $1 \leq i \leq t$, implies that $|\mathbf{L}_m(v_i)| = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. Also, $\mathbf{L}_m(v_i) \cap \mathbf{L}_m(v_j) = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$, and so $|\mathbf{L}_m(\mathbf{v})| = t\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. Obviously, $\mathbf{L}_m(\mathbf{v})$ defines a coloring of $\mathcal{L}_m$ with $2t\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ black squares. As an special case, the proposed coloring in [13] is associated to $\mathbf{L}_m(\mathbf{v})$, where $\mathbf{v} = (1, 3, \cdots, 2\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1)$.

Now, for a fixed (m,t)-vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_t)$, let $H_m(v_i)$ denote the $m \times \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ incidence matrix of $\mathbf{L}_m(v_i)$ and $H_m(\mathbf{v}) = (H_m(v_1), H_m(v_2), \ldots, H_m(v_t))$. It is worth notice that the parity-check matrix $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ simply determined by m and $\mathbf{v}$ and this significantly reduces the complexity of the decoder for storing the matrix elements. By the following lemma, it can be seen that the cycle code with the parity-check matrix $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ is regular or irregular, depending on the parity of m.

Lemma 2.1: For a (m,t)-vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_t)$, $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ is the parity-check matrix of a regular cycle code with regularity t, if m is even and $H_m(v)$ is the parity-check matrix of a irregular cycle code with t rows of weight $t-1$ and $m-t$ rows of weight t, if m is odd.

Proof. By the definition of $\mathbf{L}_m(v_i)$, m even, every two distinct elements of $\mathbf{L}_m(v_i)$ have no intersection. On the other hand, $|\mathbf{L}_m(v_i)| = \frac{m}{2}$, therefore each element of $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ appears exactly once in the elements of $\mathbf{L}_m(v_i)$ and so $H_m(v_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, has row weight 1, which means that $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ has regularity t.

By the previous part, for odd m, $H_{m+1}(\mathbf{v})$ has regularity t. Since $\mathbf{L}_m(v_i) = \mathbf{L}_{m+1}(v_i) \setminus \{\{m - v_i, m\}\}$, thus $H_m(v_i)$ can be obtained from $H_{m+1}(v_i)$ by removing the last row and the column corresponding to $\{m - v_i, m\}$. Therefore $H_m(v_i)$ has $m-1$ rows of weight 1 and a row (row indexed by $m - v_i$) of weight 0. Since for each $i \neq j$, $m - v_i \neq m - v_j$, $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ contains t rows of weight $t-1$ corresponding to the rows indexed by $m - v_1$, $m - v_2$, …, $m - v_t$, and $m - t$ rows of weight t. ■

It is noticed that for a given (m,t)-vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_t)$, we may assume that $v_1 = 1$, because the girth is invariant under any permutation on the rows (columns) of $H_m(\mathbf{v})$. In addition, the design rate of the cycle code with the parity-check matrix $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ is $1 - m/t\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ which tends to 1, when t increases. In fact, the actual rate is $r = 1 - (m-1)/t\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$, because the rank of $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ is $m-1$.

Since for odd m, $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ is obtained from $H_{m+1}(\mathbf{v})$, thus here in after we just consider the case that m is even. The Tanner graph TG$(H_m(\mathbf{v}))$ consists check nodes $\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ and variable nodes $c_{i,j} = \{2j, v_i + 2j \pmod m\}$, $0 \leq j < \frac{m}{2}$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, corresponding to the elements of $\mathbf{L}_m(\mathbf{v})$, where each $c_{i,j}$ connects even check node $2j$ to odd check node $v_i + 2j \pmod m$. As an example, TG$(H_{14}(1,5,13))$ is shown in Figure 1, part (d), in which variable nodes and check nodes are denoted by white and black circles, respectively.

Since the components v_i, $1 \leq i \leq t$, of a (m,t)-vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t)$ are distinct, thus TG$(H_m(\mathbf{v}))$ is free of 4-cycles. On the other hand, variable nodes in TG$(H_m(\mathbf{v}))$ connects even check nodes to odd check nodes and so cycles of lengths 6 and 10 are avoidable in TG$(H_m(\mathbf{v}))$. In addition, the Tanner graph TG$(H_m(\mathbf{v}))$ consists the 12-cycle containing check nodes $\{0, v_2, v_2 - 1, v_2 + v_3 - 1, v_3 - 1, v_3\}$ and variable nodes $\{c_{2,0}, c_{1,(v_2-1)/2}, c_{3,(v_2-1)/2}, c_{2,(v_3-1)/2}, c_{1,(v_3-1)/2}, c_{3,0}\}$, as shown in Figure 2, part (a). Thus $g(H_m(\mathbf{v})) \leq 12$. Now, in the following theorem, necessary and sufficient conditions are given which guarantees that $g(H_m(\mathbf{v})) = 12$.



Fig. 2. (a) A trivial 12-cycle in TG($H_m(\mathbf{v})$) (b) Nodes adjacent to $2i$ and $2j$.

Theorem 1: Let $m \geq 14$ be even and $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t)$ be a $(m,t)-$vector. Then $g(H_m(\mathbf{v})) = 12$ if and only if for every k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 with $\{k_1, k_2\} \cap \{k_3, k_4\} = \emptyset$, we have

$$(v_{k_1} + v_{k_2}) - (v_{k_3} + v_{k_4}) \notin \{0, \pm m\}.$$

Proof. To show $g(H_m(\mathbf{v})) = 12$, it is sufficient to prove that TG($H_m(\mathbf{v})$) is free of 8-cycles. By the definition, for each i, $0 \leq i < \frac{m}{2}$, any check node $z = 2i$ in TG($H_m(\mathbf{v})$) is only connected to check nodes $2i + v_k \pmod m$, $1 \leq k \leq t$, through variable node $c_{k,i}$, $1 \leq k \leq t$, respectively. It is easy to see that any 8-cycle in TG($H_m(\mathbf{v})$) must contains exactly two distinct even check nodes $2i$ and $2j$, for some $i \neq j$, because each variable node connects an odd check node to an even check node. Now, set $A_1 = \{2i + v_k, k = 1, \ldots, t\}$ and $A_2 = \{2j + v_k, k = 1, \ldots, t\}$. As shown in Figure 2, part (b), an 8-cycle containing check nodes $2i$ and $2j$ exists if and only if $|A_1 \cap A_2| \geq 2$. Thus, let $|A_1 \cap A_2| \geq 2$ and $2i + v_{k_1}, 2i + v_{k_2} \in A_1 \cap A_2$, $k_1 \neq k_2$, which implies that $2i + v_{k_1} \equiv 2j + v_{k_3}$ and $2i + v_{k_2} \equiv 2j + v_{k_4}$, for some $1 \leq k_3, k_4 \leq t$ with $\{k_1, k_2\} \cap \{k_3, k_4\} = \emptyset$, where congruent relations are considered in modulo m.

This means that $v_{k_1} - v_{k_4} \equiv v_{k_3} - v_{k_4} \pmod m$ or equivalently $m|(v_{k_1} + v_{k_2}) - (v_{k_3} + v_{k_4})$. But $-(2m-8) \leq (v_{k_1} + v_{k_2}) - (v_{k_3} + v_{k_4}) \leq 2m - 8$, which implies that $(v_{k_1} + v_{k_2}) - (v_{k_3} + v_{k_4}) \in \{0, \pm m\}$, a contradiction. This observation, shows that 8-cycles are avoidable in TG($H_m(\mathbf{v})$) and this completes the proof. ■

Now, in the following algorithm we generate (m,t)-vectors $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t)$, such that $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ has girth 12. In fact, the following algorithm find the smallest m such that a (m,t)-vector exists. Using this algorithm, Table I presents such $(m,t)-$vectors $\mathbf{v}$ corresponding to some girth-12 cycle codes with row-weight t, $3 \leq t \leq 20$ and rate r. Interestingly, the minimum length n, $n = mt/2$, of a regular cycle code with row weight $t = q+1$, q prime power, and girth 12 determined by the Gallager bound [1] has been achieved by the codes given in Table I marked by an star. Moreover, for other values of t, $t \neq 7, 15, 16$ the proposed cycle codes have minimum lengths among the known cycle codes [17].

Algorithm. Generating (m,t)-vectors v with $g(H_m(v)) = 12$.

1) Let $m \geq 14$ be even and $t \geq 3$.
2) Let $k = 2$, $A_1 = \{1\}$, $A_2 = \{3, 5, \cdots, m-1\}$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in A_1 \times A_2$ are chosen arbitrary.
3) If $k = 1$ then $m \rightarrow m + 2$ and go to step 2.
4) Choose $v_k \in A_k$. Define A_{k+1} as the set of all elements $v \in \{v_k + 2, v_k + 4, \ldots, m-1\}$ such that for all $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ we have $v \not\equiv v_{i_1} + v_{i_2} - v_{i_3} \bmod m$ and $2v \not\equiv v_{i_1} + v_{i_2} \bmod m$.
5) If $A_k = \emptyset$, then set $k \rightarrow k-1$, $A_k \rightarrow A_k - \{v_k\}$, and go to step 3.
6) If $k = t$, then go to step 8.
7) $k \rightarrow k+1$ and go to step 4.
8) Print $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t)$ as a solution.

III. Column weight 3 LDPC codes

One important invariant affecting the performance of an LDPC code is the column weight of the parity check matrix. For maximum-likelihood decoding, LDPC codes with larger column weight will give better decoding performances. Therefore, the smallest number of rows that can be added to the parity-check matrix of a cycle code with girth at least 6 to construct a girth-6 column-weight three LDPC code is an interesting problem. In the sequel, we give an approach to construct some girth-6 column-weight three LDPC codes from the proposed cycle codes such that number of added rows is small as possible. We begin with the following simple, but floristic lemma.

Lemma 3.1: Let $m \geq 14$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t)$ be an arbitrary (m,t)-vector. The minimum number of rows that must be added to $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ to construct girth-6 column-weight three LDPC code is at least t.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary row of $H_m(\mathbf{v}) = (h_{ij})$ with regularity t and non zero elements $h_{r,c_1} = h_{r,c_2} = \ldots = h_{r,c_t} = 1$. To avoid 4-cycles in any extension of $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ to a column-weigh three parity-check matrix, we need at least t new rows correspond to the column indexed by $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_t$. ■

Now, we go through the details of the construction. Let $m \geq 14$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t)$ be an arbitrary (m,t)-vector. For each i, $1 \leq i \leq t$, set $B_i = \{\{e, o, m+i-1\} : \{e, o\} \in \mathbf{L}_m(v_i)\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_m(\mathbf{v}) = \bigcup_i B_i$. For example $\mathcal{B}_{14}$(1,5,13) = {{0,1,14},{2,3,14},{4,5,14},{6,7,14},{8,9,14},{10,11,14},{12, 13,14},{0,5,15},{2,7,15},{4,9,15},{6,11,15},{8,13,15},{1,10, 15},{3,12,15},{0,13,16},{2,1,16},{4,3,16},{6,5,16},{8,7,16}, {10,9,16},{12,11,16}}.

Let $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbf{v})$ denote the incidence matrix of $\mathcal{B}_m(\mathbf{v})$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbf{v})$ can be considered as the parity-check matrix of a column weight 3 LDPC code with girth 6, because for each $i \neq j$, $\mathbf{L}_m(v_i) \cap \mathbf{L}_m(v_j) = \emptyset$ and the new points added to $\mathbf{L}_m(v_i)$ and $\mathbf{L}_m(v_j)$ are distinct. Clearly the first m rows of $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbf{v})$ have the same regularity as $\mathbf{H}_m(\mathbf{v})$ and the new t added rows have regularity $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbf{v})$ is always irregular unless m is even, $t = \frac{m}{2}$ and $\mathbf{v} = (1, 3, \ldots, m-1)$. The later case, was discussed in [12], which can be easily derived from our construction. Moreover, the rate of the constructed column weight 3 LDPC codes is $r' = 1 - \frac{t+m-1}{t\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}$, which tends to one when t, m increases. As shown in Table I, the rate of the constructed column weight three LDPC codes derived from the proposed cycle codes, denoted by r', is close to the rate of the proposed cycle codes.

Kim et al. [11] have shown that if the base matrix H has girth $2g$, then the maximum achievable girth of quasi cyclic LDPC codes having base matrix H is at least $6g$. Using this fact, the constructed column weights 2 and 3 LDPC codes

m	t	r	r'	n	Gallager bound	$v=(v_1,\cdots,v_t)$
14	3	0.38	0.24	21^*	21	$(1,5,13)$
26	4	0.52	0.44	52^*	52	$(1,5,17,25)$
42	5	0.61	0.56	105^*	105	$(1,11,15,35,41)$
62	6	0.67	0.64	186^*	186	$(1,15,21,25,33,61)$
96	7	0.71	0.7	336	301	$(1,29,51,71,85,89,95)$
114	8	0.75	0.73	456^*	456	$(1,25,29,41,47,61,105,113)$
146	9	0.78	0.77	657^*	657	$(1,13,21,69,95,101,105,129,145)$
182	10	0.8	0.79	910^*	910	$(1,3,13,21,47,53,69,83,107,111)$
240	11	0.82	0.81	1320	1221	$(1,93,105,125,155,159,181,195,223,233,239)$
266	12	0.83	0.82	1596^*	1596	$(1,5,13,49,59,81,87,111,137,151,153,171)$
336	13	0.85	0.84	2379	2041	$(1,39,61,69,75,93,127,171,175,191,217,325,335)$
366	14	0.86	0.85	2562^*	2562	$(1,31,99,103,109,143,157,169,185,193,231,249,345,365)$
510	15	0.87	0.86	3825	3165	$(1,23,27,71,79,109,167,183,233,243,297,391,491,497,509)$
510	16	0.875	0.87	4080	3856	$(1,21,23,63,67,117,141,147,155,173,245,255,303,315,331,367)$
546	17	0.88	0.879	4641^*	4641	$(1,11,31,69,71,85,147,151,173,179,197,269,303,311,355,367,403)$
614	18	0.889	0.886	5526^*	5526	$(1,5,21,45,107,113,165,167,179,197,261,297,307,335,377,385,411,433)$
720	19	0.895	0.892	6840	6517	$(1,7,63,65,83,135,173,189,221,233,257,267,369,397,411,419,485,511,515)$
762	20	0.9	0.898	7620^*	7620	$(1,49,61,87,111,143,151,179,209,251,255,325,335,379,413,431,545,551,565,567)$

Table I. Some $(m,t)-$vectors $\mathbf{v}=(v_1,\cdots,v_t)$ associated to $H_m(\mathbf{v})$ with girth 12.

can be considered as the base matrices of some quasi cyclic LDPC codes with girth at least 36 and 18, respectively. In fact, using a similar approach posed in [12], the maximum achievable girth of the constructed quasi cyclic LDPC codes with column weight 3 is 20.

IV. Simulation Results

In this section, we examine a performance comparison between the constructed column weight three LDPC codes with large girth, employing the proposed algorithm in [12], on one hand, and LDPC codes with different girths constructed in [13] based on Steiner triple system $STS(9)$ and the 15-points 3×5 integer lattice $L(3\times 5)$, on the other hand.

In Figure 3, $STS(9)(N;gb)$ and $L3\times 5(N;gb)$ are used to denote $STS(9)$ and $L(3\times 5)$-based LDPC codes with block-size N and girth b, respectively. Moreover, $C3(m,N;gb)$ is used to denote the column-weight three QC LDPC code with girth b, block size N which is lifted from the base matrix $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbf{v})$. As shown Figure 3, the constructed column-weight three codes with different girths significantly outperform the codes based on $STS(9)$ and $L(3\times 5)$ with the same girth.



Fig. 3. Comparisons between the proposed column-weight 3 LDPC codes with different girths and some well-known codes

References

[1] R. G. Gallager, *Low-Density parity-check Codes*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1963.

[2] D. J. C. MacKay, *Good error-correcting codes based on very sparse matrices*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 399-431, March 1999.

[3] F. R. Kschischang, B. J. Frey, and H. A. Loeliger, *Factor graphs and the sum-product algorithm*, IEEE. Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 47, pp. 498–519, 2001.

[4] R. M. Tanner, *A recursive approach to low complexity codes*, IEEE. Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. IT-27, pp. 533–547, Sept. 1981.

[5] M. Gholami, and M. Esmaeili, *Maximum-girth Cylinder-type Block-circulant LDPC Codes*, IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 952–962, April 2012.

[6] M. Gholami and M. Samadieh, *Design of binary and nonbinary codes from lifting of girth-8 cycle codes with minimum lengths*, IEEE Commun. Lett., vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 777-780, Apr. 2013.

[7] R. H. Peng, and R. R. Chen, *Design of nonbinary quasi-cyclic LDPC cycle codes*, ITW, Lake Tahoe, California, Sept. 2–6, 2007.

[8] W. Chen, C. Poulliat, D. Declercq et al., *Structured high-girth non-binary cycle codes*, in Proc. Asia Pacific Conference on Communications (APCC), October 2009.

[9] H. Song, J. Liu, and B. V. K. V. Kumar, *Large girth cycle codes for partial response channels*, IEEE Trans. Magn., vol. 40, no. 4, part 2, pp. 3084-3086, 2004.

[10] J. Thorpe, *Low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes constructed from protograph*, IPN Progr. JPL. Rep. 42–154, pp. 1–7, Aug. 2003.

[11] S. Kim, J. S. No, H. Chung, and D. J. Shin, *Quasi-cyclic low-density parity-check codes with girth larger than 12*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 53, pp. 2885–2891, Aug. 2007.

[12] M. Gholami, M. Samadieh and G. Raeisi, *Column-Weight Three QC LDPC Codes with Girth 20*, IEEE Commun. Lett., vol. 17, pp. 1439–1442, May 2013.

[13] M. Esmaeili and M. Gholami, *Structured QC LDPC codes with girth 18 and column-weight $J\geq 3$*, IEEE Commun. Letter, Int. J. Electron. Commun. (AEUE), vol. 64, pp. 202-217, Mar. 2010.

[14] I. E. Bocharova, F. Hug, R. Johannesson, B. D. Kudryashov, and R. V. Satyukov, *Searching for Voltage Graph-Based LDPC Tailbiting Codes with Large Girth*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 58, pp. 2265–2279, April 2012.

[15] G. Malema and M. Liebelt, *High Girth Column-Weight-Two LDPC Codes Based on Distance Graphs*, EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking, vol. 2007, Article ID 48158, 5 pages, 2007.

[16] C. Chen, B. Bai and X. Wang, *Construction of nonbinary quasi-cyclic LDPC cycle codes based on singer perfect difference set*, IEEE Commun. Lett, vol. 14, pp. 181–183, Feb. 2010.

[17] G. Exoo, R. Jajcay, *Dynamic Cage Survey*, Electron. J. Combin., #DS16, pp. 1–55, Jul. 2013.


BER
Eb/N0 (dB)
Rand
B(36)
B(32)
B(28)
B(24)
B(20)
B(16)
B(12)
PEG


BER
Eb/N0 (dB)
NPEG
NRA
NB(36)
NB(12)
it20−Nb200
GF(64)
length=8400